



Jay Rodriguez

Independent Mortgage Advisor, Arbor Financial Group
 NMLS# 902610 DRE# 02092450
 13809 Research Boulevard STE 568 Austin, Texas 78750

Office: 512-549-4568
 Mobile: 949-606-2410
 Fax: 888-337-3040
jay@rodriguez.team
[View My Website](#)

Could The Top Already Be In For Rates?

(Most of this newsletter lays out a counterpoint to the fairly dominant theme of "higher rates in 2018." Please note: this is **just the bright side** of the debate. There's still a dark side, but we're giving it the week off for Spring Break!)

It's no secret that late 2017 and early 2018 were anything but pleasant for fans of low rates. Average mortgage rates and Treasury yields shot higher at their fastest pace since the 2016 presidential election. Even after that spike, there are still plenty of reasons to fear rates may continue higher, and that's exactly why they **could** go lower.

The emphasis is very much on "could." At the risk of bursting any bubbles, rates "**could**" **always** move higher or lower from wherever they are right now, and traders are constantly trying to adjust today's rates to reflect future probabilities. In other words, whatever can be accurately known about the future is generally already priced-in to current rate levels. This is actually the foundation of our rate-friendly narrative this week, but first, let's recap the data and market movement.

Housing-Related Data Recap

The National Association of Realtors reported a **3.1% improvement** in Pending Home Sales, a measurement of home purchase contract activity. This follows a 5% decline in the previous month and helps to contain the year-over-year declines seen in the lower section of the following chart.

National Average Mortgage Rates



| | Rate | Change | Points |
|----------------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Mortgage News Daily | | | |
| 30 Yr. Fixed | 6.89% | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15 Yr. Fixed | 6.33% | +0.01 | 0.00 |
| 30 Yr. FHA | 6.33% | +0.01 | 0.00 |
| 30 Yr. Jumbo | 7.05% | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 5/1 ARM | 6.58% | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Freddie Mac | | | |
| 30 Yr. Fixed | 6.77% | -0.09 | 0.00 |
| 15 Yr. Fixed | 6.05% | -0.11 | 0.00 |

Rates as of: 7/22

Market Data

| | Price / Yield | Change |
|----------------|---------------|---------|
| MBS UMBS 5.5 | 99.39 | -0.01 |
| MBS GNMA 5.5 | 99.78 | +0.00 |
| 10 YR Treasury | 4.2276 | -0.0249 |
| 30 YR Treasury | 4.4468 | -0.0257 |

Pricing as of: 7/23 6:55AM EST




Recent Housing Data

| | | Value | Change |
|---------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Mortgage Apps | Jul 10 | 206.1 | -0.19% |
| Building Permits | Mar | 1.46M | -3.95% |
| Housing Starts | Mar | 1.32M | -13.15% |
| New Home Sales | Mar | 693K | +4.68% |
| Pending Home Sales | Feb | 75.6 | +1.75% |
| Existing Home Sales | Feb | 3.97M | -0.75% |
| Builder Confidence | Mar | 51 | +6.25% |

Pending Home Sales




The Mortgage Bankers Association released its weekly update on mortgage applications, which showed another nice gain for purchases and a token improvement for refis. The good news is that we **haven't even hit peak season** for purchase apps (June). When we do, the numbers should be even better.



Persistent homebuying demand + low inventory = **higher and higher prices**. The Case-Shiller price index echoed other recent home price reports with a move up to 6.4% for January--not quite as high as FHFA's January numbers, but 0.2% higher than was forecast for this report. Moreover, in seasonally-adjusted terms, this week's "20-city price index" hit **new record highs**.


Case Shiller Home Price Index



Market Movements and Rate Outlook


Rates dropped at their **fastest pace of the year*** this week, and for the 3rd week in a row! That makes 6 out of the past 7 weeks with rates closing at lower levels than the previous week. Granted, some of those victories involved rates essentially remaining flat, but after the rapid spike earlier in the year, we'll take any victory we can get!

10yr Treasury Yield (Rates)



Because financial news outlets are decidedly stock-focused, much of the available commentary on rates ends up involving stocks. The conventional wisdom seems to be that heavy losses in stocks get credit for noticeable declines in rates. There is **SOME** truth to this, but the correlation guaranteed.

Rates vs Stocks




In fact, the biggest moves tend to happen when rates are doing their own thing. For instance, in the chart below, the big drop at the beginning of 2015 was due to the onset of the European Central Bank's bond buying program (more bond-buying = lower rates). The big spike in late 2016 was due to bond traders fearing increased Treasury issuance (more supply = more to sell = higher rates) after the election. And if all that isn't enough to hammer home the fact that stock/rate correlation varies quite a bit, just use the lower pane of the chart to re-calibrate your assumptions as needed.

Rates vs Stocks



Since the previous chart offered 2 good examples of bonds/rates doing their own thing regardless of the stock market, what might turn out to be the current motivation for the **next big move**? Interestingly enough, stocks **could** actually play a pretty big role this time. The higher they've gone, and the longer they've gone without a bear market, the greater the fear of a massive correction. Most analysts would agree that February and March would end up looking like a small warning shot relative to such a correction. To whatever extent that drama begins to unfold, rates **couldn't help but improve** simply because so much money from the stock market would be seeking safe havens.

Stocks



Were I to argue **against** the "safe haven" idea, I would point out that the current environment is not conducive to rates moving lower for two, maybe **three big reasons**:

1. Fed rate hike expectations suggest short-term rates will be high enough in the future that long-term rates (the stuff we care about in the mortgage/housing market) will have to go somewhat higher.
2. Increased government bond issuance (to pay for stuff like infrastructure and the tax bill) will create more and more supply. Higher bond market supply = higher rates.
3. Fiscal policies may promote additional economic growth and inflation--both of which are traditionally bad for rates.


All of the above points are **valid**, but there's **one important counterpoint** back on the optimistic side of the rate argument, and it goes back to the beginning of the newsletter: "whatever can be accurately known about the future is generally already priced-in to current rate levels."

With that in mind, anything that impacts the accuracy of the outlook creates opportunities for rates to do "something different." Uncertainty about the true cost of the tax bill over time means uncertainty about how much debt will be issued to pay for it. If the tax bill actually ramps up revenues as much as some of its proponents think it might, bond markets likely **overestimated** the government's future borrowing needs. And again, anything that decreases those borrowing needs is a net-benefit for rates.

Bottom line: adjusting for the effects of the tax bill presented a moving target for bond markets. At the same time, traders were also adjusting to a slightly accelerated Fed rate hike outlook. The juxtaposition of these 2 themes advocated caution among traders. Because of that, it made more sense to push rates **higher first and ask questions later**.


Now, those questions might be starting to get asked. Did we assume a worst-case scenario for Treasury issuance **without** planning on much relief from revenues? Is the Fed **really** going to end up hiking as fast as their forecasts indicate? With the tax bill effects already priced in, any rethinking of Fed rate hike expectations is more free to have a **positive** effect on today's longer-term rates. We may already be seeing signs that the trajectory is leveling off (lower pane of the following chart).

Rates vs Rate Hike Expectations



***One final caveat for mortgage rates specifically.** This one is a blessing and a curse. On the one hand, mortgage rates have been much less volatile than Treasury yields recently. On the other hand, keep in mind that mortgages don't tend to benefit as quickly when bond markets are improving overall. If Treasury yields continue lower, mortgages will eventually follow, but it will take time, and the gains will need to stabilize for weeks before lenders begin to close the gap.

Treasury Yield vs Mortgage Rates



Subscribe to my newsletter online at: <http://mortgageratesupdate.com/jayrodriguez>

Recent Economic Data

| Date | Event | Actual | Forecast | Prior |
|--------------------------|---|--------|----------|--------|
| Monday, Mar 26 | | | | |
| 1:00PM | 2-Yr Note Auction (bl) | 30 | | |
| Tuesday, Mar 27 | | | | |
| 9:00AM | Jan CaseShiller 20 yy (%) | +6.4 | 6.2 | 6.3 |
| 10:00AM | Mar Consumer confidence | 127.7 | 131.0 | 130.8 |
| 1:00PM | 5-Yr Note Auction (bl) | 35 | | |
| Wednesday, Mar 28 | | | | |
| 7:00AM | w/e Mortgage Refinance Index | 1188.5 | | 1107.7 |
| 7:00AM | w/e MBA Purchase Index | 257.6 | | 249.9 |
| 8:30AM | Q4 GDP Final (%) | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 10:00AM | Feb Pending Home Sales (%) | 3.1 | 2.1 | -4.7 |
| 1:00PM | 7-Yr Note Auction (bl) | 29 | | |
| Thursday, Mar 29 | | | | |
| 8:30AM | Feb Personal Income (%) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 8:30AM | Feb Consumer Spending (Consumption) (%) | 0.200 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 8:30AM | Feb Core PCE (y/y) (%) | 1.600 | 1.600 | 1.500 |
| 8:30AM | w/e Jobless Claims (k) | 215 | 225 | 229 |

Event Importance:

- No Stars = Insignificant
- ☆ Low
- ★ Moderate
- ★★ Important
- ★★★ Very Important

| Date | Event | Actual | Forecast | Prior |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|
| 9:45AM | Mar Chicago PMI | 57.4 | 62.0 | 61.9 |
| 10:00AM | Mar U Mich Sentiment Final (ip) | 101.4 | 102.0 | 102.0 |
| 10:00AM | Mar U Mich 1Yr Inf Final (%) | 2.8 | | 2.9 |
| 10:00AM | Mar U Mich 5-Yr Inf Final (%) | 2.5 | | 2.5 |
| Monday, Apr 02 | | | | |
| 10:00AM | Mar ISM Manufacturing PMI | 59.3 | 60.0 | 60.8 |
| 10:00AM | Feb Construction spending (%) | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Tuesday, Apr 03 | | | | |
| 9:45AM | Mar ISM-New York index | 772.1 | | 770.2 |
| Wednesday, Apr 04 | | | | |
| 8:15AM | Mar ADP National Employment (k) | 241 | 205 | 235 |
| 10:00AM | Mar ISM N-Mfg PMI | 58.8 | 59.0 | 59.5 |
| 10:00AM | Feb Factory orders mm (%) | 1.2 | 1.7 | -1.4 |
| Friday, Apr 06 | | | | |
| 8:30AM | Mar Non-farm payrolls (k) | 103 | 193 | 313 |
| 8:30AM | Mar Unemployment rate mm (%) | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| 8:30AM | Mar Average workweek hrs (hr) | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.5 |

Choose the Right Independent Home Loan Expert

Your Trusted Nationwide Mortgage Advisor

With over a decade of experience, Jay Rodriguez is your go-to mortgage broker. Originally from Southern California, Jay has 13 state licenses and a deep understanding of the mortgage landscape. Whether you're in Texas or beyond, his commitment to personalized service, competitive rates, and on-time closings sets him apart. First-time buyers, refinancers, and investors alike benefit from his expertise. Contact Jay today to turn your homeownership dreams into reality!

Jay Rodriguez

